

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/638,179	08/07/2003	Ulrich Birnbaum	DT-6591	3742
30377 75	90 02/10/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID TOREN, ESQ.			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, LLP 787 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10019-6018			3679	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2 /	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
V	10/638,179	BIRNBAUM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor MacArthur	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum si - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply nunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3/ tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS y will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	v be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .					
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	,—					
closed in accordance with the practi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	g the correction is required if the drawing(s)	-				
	by the Examiner. Note the attached C	ilice Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation 	•	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/638,179

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 1

Species II – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 2

Species III – embodiment of the invention as shown in Figs. 3, 3a, 4, 5

Species IV – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 6

Species V – embodiment of the invention as shown in Figs. 7, 8

Note that Species I, II and IV are currently not claimed in that they do not comprise a fastening element as recited in claim 1 (the only independent claim). The fact that elements are interchangeable between species does not affect the validity of the restriction since species may be related inventions (e.g. two different species usable together in a common generic invention, or Intermediate-Final Product species that are distinct). See MPEP §806.04(b). Furthermore, note that while claims do not define species [MPEP §806.04(e)] the applicant should ensure that the drawings show all elements of any elected claims without adding any new matter in order to avoid an objection to the drawings.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/638,179

Art Unit: 3679

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

An attempt to contact David Toren by telephone was made on 2/1/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. However, the attempt did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3679

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (703) 305-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

VLM

February 4, 2005

DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Januel P Stodola

Page 4